FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

01 DEC -3 PH 3: 12

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

CHARGE PROPERTY AND BUILDING PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

٧.

U.S.D.C. CASE NO:8:00-CR-369-T-27TGW U.S.C.A. CASE NO:01-15416-BB

KEVIN A. WIEDERHOLD,

Defendant,

DEFENDANTS AFFIDAVIT TO REBUT ALLEGATIONS

COMES NOW, the defendant KEVIN A. WIEDERHOLD being duly sworn, deposes and says.

1. On or about September 2000 defendant was accused of threatening a federal judge named Steven Merriday. Defendant flatly denies any threats to any judge past or present. Defendant asserts he never spoke to a person at the criminal section of the federal courts in September 2000. Defendant did speak to an individual in the civil section at 5000 Zack Street in Tampa about this same time concerning a civil injuction defendant placed upon the plaintiffs in October of 1995. Defendant did not receive" a return phone call from a Kaye Jancaitis as she claimed under oath on August 14th 2001. Furthermore defendant asserts Kaye Jancaitis did conspire to commit perjury for past and present plaintiffs in violation of Title 18, 371 and Title 18, 1621. Defendant asserts he did not make any statements that could be in any way misunderstood as a threat as Kaye Jancaitis appears to have conspired to make it look like. Innocent defendant asserts he made it very clear what questions he was asking and stating on the phone to the individual at the civil section last September. Defendant asserts he did not make such a statement as "I will do him in etc" and it shocks the conscience of any reason-

STANNED

142

able and prudent man that Kaye Jancaitis would conspire to commit perjury with "Special" agent Brian Ritter, then have the innocent defendant falsely arrested, imprisoned and prosecuted. Defendant then watched as Kaye Jancaitis came to trial for the plaintiffs and again conspired to commit perjury in front of a jury.

2. On or about August 13th-15th 2001, defendant was tried on the charges listed on the October 12th 2000, indictment. Defendant was falsely accused of making "TENS to THOUSANDS of phone calls PER DAY" to the Tampa F.B.I. office between January 2000, through October 2000. Defendant was accused of this behavior by Cynthia Eget, Angela Sanchez and Steve Mathews. Defendant asserts he DID NOT make TENS to THOUSANDS of phone calls per day or even per week to any of these individuals. Defendant asserts that at least Angela Sanchez and Steve Mathews did conspire to commit perjury in their testimony in violation of Title 18, 371 and Title 18, 1621. Defendant alledges Cynthia Eget may use the excuse there may have been someone else calling during these times because even her figure of the amount of phone calls (which is also ridiculous) is much lower that the other two perjurers. Defendant asserts Cynthia Eget did defame and harass defendant on the phone with such statements as " I can't wait to see how weird you look etc" Defendant asserts Steve Mathews is not a F.B.I. agent as he testified under oath and he also viciously perjured himself several times when he stated defendant called twenty five times per week sounding incoherent and retarded. Defendant asserts Angela Sanchez perjured herself several times in her responses to defendants questions, namely the question about the time she transfered the defendant to a sick male who made the statement "Go get a dress on etc". Defendant asserts several other F.B.I. agents including Lynn Billings are a part of these

insane acts as well. Defendant asserts it shocks the conscience of any reasonable, normal and prudent man what several people in government have perpetrated on the defendant.

3. On or about Augustu 13th-15th 2001, the defendant was broughtito trial on the charges listed on the October 12th 2001, Indictment. Defendant asserts that a government agent named Brian Ritter conspired to commit perjury on the defendant in violation of Title 18, 371 and Title 18, 1621. Defendant asserts that Brian Ritter lied under oath for all the past and present plaintiffs when he testified in October 2000, about the "threat on a judge etc". Defendant asserts agent Ritter lied under oath about not knowing who Frank Wirt was and the fact that Frank Wirts brother actually arrested defendant in front of defendants trailer. Defendant asserts agent Ritter lied under oath about the U.S. Marshals being at defendants home when there was none. Defendant asserts agent Ritter lied under oath when he said there was more that three agents on the scene and more that one transport vehicle: Defendant asserts Brian Ritter lied under oath before a Magistrate Judge in Corpus Christi, Texas about being a F.B.I. agent when defendant believes he is actually a C.I.D. for the I.R.S. Defendant has made this conclusion about agent Ritter being a C.I.D. agent primarily because of Judge Whittemore's refusal of defendants right to question agent Ritter about whether he was a F.B.I. agent or a C.I.D. agent, Defendant asserts agent Ritter lied under oath when when he said he worked for the Corpus Christi F.B.I. when he did not. Defendant asserts agent Ritter lied under oath when he stated there was no African American agent in the federal courthouse when defendant was booked and brought before the Magistrate Judge in Corpus Christi TX, in October of 2000. Defendant asserts it

shocks the conscience of any reasonable and prudent man that this agent named Brian Ritter would conspire to commit perjury to the degree that he has and use an illegal arrest with another co-conspirator who is Frank Wirts brother.

4. On or about August 13th-15th 2001, defendant was tried on the charges listed on the October 12th 2000, Indictment. Defendant asserts that an individual named Deborah Kenney who is a employee for Zenith Insurance in Sarasota, FL., did conspire to commit perjury on the defendant in violation of Title 18, 371 and Title 18, 1621. Defendant asserts Ms.Kënney lied under oath when she answered defendants questions about Roberta Clark's, Sandra Bock's and others wrongful involvement concerning the Riscorp 1992-present malicious injunction/charges including the medical frauds of spinal stenosis etc. Defendant asserts Ms.Kenney lied under oath when she stated she did not recall telling defendant "you better get out of that area" meaning the Corpus Christi TX, area when defendant spoke to her in Sept/Oct 1999, on the phone in front of the Circle K store in Aransas Pass TX. Defendant asserts Deborah Kenney lied under oath when she said she did not recall telling defendant she would never appear in court against defendant no matter if she was subpeoned or not as she wanted nothing to do with it. Defendant asserts that Deborah Kenney probably would not have testified for Tony Dunbar et al, if defendant had not ruined the plaintiffs chances for another spinal stenosis fraud case as this fraud was mentioned on the PreTrial Services Report upon incarceration in Corpus Christi and again mentioned verbally in the Morgan Street Jail in Febuary of 2001, by the nurses clinic. Defendant at this time realized at the nurses clinic that the ten year lie of him having spinal stenosis

had come to an end. Defendant asserts that Crum&Foster Insurance, Aetna Insurance, Riscorp Insurance, Allstate Insurance, Zenith Insurance and others play a heavy role in the spinal stenosis and other fraudulent disability activity perpetrated on the defendant. Defendant can then connect the Florida Division of Workers Compensation and others into this illegal activity very easily. Defendant asserts the majority of "others" would primarily be Tony Dunbar et al. Defendant asserts Deborah Kenney was asked to lie by the prosecuter and Lynn 🖰 🖯 Billings. Defendant asserts this type of conspiring to commit perjury shocks the conscience of any reasonable and prudent man. Defendant has asserts when he proves he does not have spinal stenosis as even the U.S. Probation Office claims he has (this disease), several government employees will be out of a job and numerous others will be prosecuted further for conpiracy to commit fraud in violation of Title 184, 371 and any applicable State of Florida, State of Missouri or State of Texas, Insurance fraud laws etc.

5. On or about August 13th-15th 2001, defendant was tried on the charges listed on the October 12th 2000, Indictment. Defendant asserts that a malicious prosecutor named Anthony Porcelli and a malicious F.B.I. agent named Lynn Billings conspired with Frank Wirthin Kansas City MO, to confiscate several important court files, medical records and other information for the plaintiffs dating as far back as 1979 in Dubudue County and 1990 in Florida. Defendant asserts that Anthony Porcelli and Lynn Billings maliciously conspired with government people, corporate people, disowned family, attorneys and others to attempt to have defendant ruled INSANE and INCOMPETENT through Ellis Rexwood Curry IV, against defendants will thus justifing the illegal activity

perpetrated by the plaintiffs over the years. Defendant asserts he wrote Dr. William E. Bonney Ph.D in the month of Febuary and informed him that if he happenned to rule defendant competent and sane, his exam may very well have the prosecuter dismissed from his job. Defendant asserts Anthony Porcelli was/is doing all he can to protect someone or a small group of corrupt people who are in serious trouble according to Federal and State laws. Defendant asserts this can be proven by the fact that this Rochester facility has on its computer system that the reason the defendant was now prosecuted, incarcerated, and convicted was "threatening the prosecuter" and "threatening a judge". Defendant asserts this is a lie because even Ellis Rexwood Curry IV said he knew of no such allegation of the "threat on a judge" when he came in to talk to the defendant at the Morgan Street Jail, January of 2001. Furthermore the standby counsel Dan Daly admitted there was no allegation "threat on a judge" when he came into the jail and stated the prosecuter was going to drop the alledged threats on Tony Dunbar if defendant plead out to just the harassing phone call charge. Defendant asserts the sentence Dan Daly spoke of at this time was six month's (timed served) with probation or ten to eighteen month's if convicted. Defendant asserts since Anthony Porcelli has miserably failedlateillegallydtryingytogmakemathe thomogentodefendantdamit look insane and have him ruled insane and incompetent he must now viciously accuse the defendant of "threatening the prosecuter" or in other words HIMSELF. Defendant asserts he has never threatened this malicious prosecuter no matter how terrible and destructive this prosecuter has become. Defendant demands evidence of this allegation of "threatening a prosecuter" or "threatening a judge". Defendant asserts proof will not come because the plaintiffs know such alleg-

ations are completely false nor are the usual altered tapes available. the corrupt plaintiffs have been using since 1996. Defendant asserts this malicious prosecuter was a part of having Dr. William E. Bonney's exam stolen from the defendant while the defendant was in a cell outside the courtroom afiter the strange judge would call recess several times during the trial. Defendant asserts Anthony Porcelli stole several other documents with Dan Daly being involved including very important information on entrappment. Defendant asserts the prosecuter conspired with the Sarasota Public Defenders Office were Tony Dunbar was once employed under Elliot Metcalfe. Defendant asserts proof of this is the ridiculous document that the prosecuter gave Dan Daly to coerce the defendant to submitt as evidence during the trial. Defendant asserts this document was very detrimental towards defendant as ANYTHING is that has Elliot Metcalfe's name attached to it. Defendant asserts if he would have allowed this insane document to be submitted it would have ruined his chance to appeal among many other things. Defendant asserts his Landlord came to trial and claimed this document was faxed to him by Elliot Metcalfe's office in August of 2000. Defendant asserts the Landlord was subpeoned on behalf of the prosecution and not the defendant. Defendant asserts he can eventually prove there was a conspiracy to have him put in the B.O.P. system since Frank Wirt maliciously conspired to hand out key documents from defendants files in 1998. Defendant asserts it shocks the conscience of any reasonable and prudent man that the U.S. Attorney, Anthony Porcelli would conspire to commit perjury with his witnesses in violation of U.S.C. Title 18, 371 and Title 18, 1621. Furthermore defendant asserts Anthony Porcelli conspired to commit fraud with the past plaintiffs Aetna et al, Ris-

- corp et al, Zenith Ins, Travelers Ins and Allstate Ins, but not limited too.
- 6. On or about August 13th-15th 2001, defendant was tried on the charges listed on the October 12th 2000, indictment. Defendant asserts that a detective named Tim McKeon conspired to commit perjury on the defendant from at least December 30th 1994, in violation of Title 18, 371 and Title 18, 1621. Defendant asserts witnesses were present on August 22nd 1995, who heard Tim Mckeon and others conspire to commit perjury on the defendant in the court of law at which time he accused defendant of "threatening to blow up Riscorp with a bomb". Defendant asserts Tim Mckeon again lied under oath in 1996 with a witness present at which time he denied that he accused defendant of the bomb threat on Riscorp. Defendant asserts Tim Mckeon is behind the altering of tape recordings at one hearing before a corrupt judge named Judy Goldman in 1996. Defendant asserts Tim Mckeon again lied under oath on several occasions August 14th 2001, including the denial that he knew of the bomb threat allegation by Riscorp in 1996 which imprisoned defendant for at least five days against his will in Sarasota County. Defendant asserts that Tim Mckeon lied under oath when he continually said "I don't know" or "I don't remember" when cross examined about his involvement in the illegal activity by the plaintiffs in the past from at least 1994 to the present. Defendant asserts Tim McKeon lied under oath when he claimed defendant harassed a disowned sister on the phone in 1994. Defendant asserts the only reason for Tim McKeon's appearance in the trial was to harass and defame defendant. Defendant asserts it shocks the conscience of any reasonable and prudent man that Tim McKeon is continually allowed to conspire to commit perjury on the innocent defendant for no other reason than to save others from being charged

with breaking numerous federal and state laws.

7. On or about August 13th-15th 2001, defendant was tried on the charges listed on the October 12th 2000, indictment. Defendant asserts that a employee of the F.B.I. named Lynn Billings has consistently concocted scandalous discovery information for the plaintiffs that illegally attempts to portray the defendant as insane, incompetent, schizophrenic, mentally ill and/or other defects. Defendant asserts Lynn Billings was continually conspiring with defense attorneys like Elliot Metcalfe et al, to attempt to portray defendant in this manner. Defendant asserts Lynn Billings has conspired with the Hillsborough. County Sheriffs department by again altering recordings of coversations by the defendant with others on the phone from the Morgan Street Jail from (approx) April 2001 through July 2001. Defendant asserts the whole illegal discovery transcripts and tapes are severely altered and rearranged to defame defendant as listed above. Defendant asserts Lynn Billings is behind the ridiculous and falsified police history report attempting to make the defendant look insane since 1992, but not limited too. Defendant asserts Lynn Billings is behind the theft of important documents from the defendant from at least 1998. Defendant asserts it shocks the conscience of any reasonable and prudent man that Lynn Billings is still a F.B.I. agent and one that is POSSESSED with completely ruining the defendant without cause.

On or about August 13th-15th 2001, defendant was tried on the charges listed on the October 12th 2001, indictment. Defendant asserts that Mr. Taylor from Buena Vista Trailer Park. 5115 Up River Rd, Corpus Christi TX. did make the following statements to the defendant in late June 2000. in front of the office, "An investigator named TONY called

today and he wanted to know if you lived here and if I would give out your address and phone number " and " This investigator claims you are a witness to something ". Defendant asserts he was illegally arrested outside his trailer in Buena Vista Trailer Park on October 23rd 2000 and not October 24th 2000, as the illegal executed arrest warrant states. Defendant asserts that a Mr. Taylor who also resided in Buena Vista Trailer Park at the time of the arrest is the one who actually signed the illegal "Warrant For Arrest" for the F.B.I. in Corpus Christi. Defendant asserts this was antillegal and conspiring citizens arrest in violation of Title 18, 371. Defendant asserts he was actually handcuffed and driven to the Corpus Christi federal courthouse by a brother of Frank Wirt in violation of Title 18, 371. Defendant asserts Frank Wirt resides in the Kansas City area where the defendant lived from 1982-1987 and 1997-1999. Defendant asserts he had given Frank Wirt all of his court documents etc, from Florida and Iowa etc, so Mr. Wirt could keep them in (so-called) safe posession. Defendant asserts Frank Wirt gave numerous sensitive documents to these plaintiffs including a original document signed by judge Hayworth on May 19th 1993, that found the defendant Not Guilty of breaking an injuction and Not Guilty of Aggravated Stalking. Defendant asserts the document stated two counts Not Guilty by jury and it WAS NOT a not guilty verdict by reason of insanity.

Defendant asserts the following statements were made by Mr. Taylor on the phone from the Victoria County Jail, Victoria TX, in November of 2000, and again on the phone at the Morgan Street jail in Tampa, FL, in December of 2000, "Maybe the judge will have compassion and let you come home if you do what he asks". Defendant asserts the "do what he asks" was a wrongful medication plan based on a conspiring

psychiatric exam claiming the defendant was incompetent according to Title 18, U.S.C. 4241(d). Defendant asserts all the plaintiffs were involved in the (Marsha) "get on your medication" plan since Frank Wirt gave out defendants sensitive documents in 1998. Defendant asserts this illegal and conspiring act goes hand in hand with the spinal stenosis frauds perpetrated by the plaintiffs since about 1990.

Defendant asserts the definition of the term Spinal Stenosis Fraud relating to defendants case is as follows: 1. Any conspirator/plaintiff against the defendant who makes the claim the defendant has a genetic, deteriorating back disorder which causes the defendant to become handicapped both physically and mentally. 2. Any conspirator/plaintiff against the defendant who knows the defendant does not have a genetic condition called spinal stenosis yet continues to falsify medical, psychiatric/psychologi-cal, court records and military records attempting to make the defendant seem handicapped physically or mentally. 3. Any conspirator/plaintiff against the defendant since 1989/90 who knowingly deceived defendant into believing he had/has a genetic condition of spinal stenosis vet continuelly defamed defendant on medical, psychiatric/psychological, court records and miltary records attempting to make him look like a hypercondriac in need of psychiatric care or psychotropic drugs. 4. Any conspirator/plaintiff who has/is attempting to place the defendant on any type of government or corporate disability using the above mentioned tactics or frauds. 5. Any conspirator/plaintiff against the defendant who has coerced the defendant to get a back operation for a genetic condition of spinal stenosis, then planning to maim him with such an operation. (Re: Aetna et al. Dr. Miller. Glacier Water Company and John Adelmann et al, "Your lucky you are still walking "Kevin").

Defendant asserts Mr. Taylor was in communication with Lynn Billings the F.B.I. case worker to have him made insane or incompetent or both. Defendant asserts Mr. Taylor did come to trial on behalf of the prosecution to make statements to the jury such as "He is very aggressive" and that "He didn't quite recall" defendant telling him he was having trouble with numerous

attorneys, when defendant first moved into the trailer park in late Febuary 2001. Defendant asserts Mr. Taylor also told the jury, "He didn't quite recall", the summer month when Tony Dunbar called the park office in the summer of the year 2000. Defendant asserts Mr. Taylor stated defendant last paid rent on September 1st 2000, when it was actually last paid on Friday the 20th of October 2000, or Saturday the 21st of October 2000. Defendant asserts there are reasons why Mr. Taylor made these statements on behalf of the prosecution, plaintiffs and the judge. Defendant asserts he was silenced by the judge everytime he attempted to tell the jury the truth con-. cerning these matters. Defendant asserts that Mr. Taylor told the, jury that he received a four page faxed document from the Sarasota Public Defenders Office in August of 2000, and the cover page of this document was given to the prosecution and Dan Daly to attempt an insanity acquital against defendants wishes. Defendant asserts Mr. Taylor turned towards the defendant and gave a wink with his left eye when he was being questioned about the faxed document that he claimed he receieved from Elliot Metcalfe's office on August 17th 2000. Defendant asserts that Mr. Taylors knewsabout the up coming corrupt activity and were a part of of it. Furthermore, defendant asserts the Clerks are not telling the truth concerning defendants change of address. Defendant asserts the Clerks knew the defendant was housed at the Faulkenberg Road Jail and the Orient Road Jail as the defendant was receiving mail from the Clerk of Court with the Orient Road address in the window of the envelopes. Defendant asserts the reason the Clerks now claim defendants last known address was the Morgan Street Jail was to make it look like nobody knew were the defendant went after trial including Mr. Taylors, therefore

the plaintiffs have sold or disgarded all of defendants personal belongings at 5115 Up River Road, Lot #47, Corpus Christi Texas. Defendant asserts these are (but not limited too), defendants 1986 29' (foot) Prowler Trailer made by Kingswood in California, defendants 1990 E-250 Ford Econoline Van, defendants computer system purchased at Computer Depot in Overland Park KS, defendants tools, defendants important court documents and records etc.

Defendant asserts he has lost all his posessions twice now because of these outrageous acts by the plaintiffs since 1992. Defendant asserts he has lost numerous items along the way including vehicles stolen by the plaintiffs. Defendant lost his business in Florida in 1996/97. Defendant lost thousands of dollars in the court system because of these plaintiffs since 1992. Defendant asserts it shocks the conscience of any reasonable, normal and prudent man what these plaintiffs have done and continue to perpetrate on the defendant while completely abusing the system of law.

By executing this affidavit, defendant declares that the statements made herein are true under penalty of perjury pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. § 1746.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of this affidavit was served upon AUSA Anthony Porcelli, 400 Tampa Street, Ste. 3200 Tampa FL. 33602, via First Class mail, this <u>277</u>h day of November, 2001.

Kevin A. Wiederhold, pro se Bldg 1-216 #89849-079

Federal Corrections Inst

PMB, 4000 Rochester, MN. 55903