

09  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

KEVIN A. WIEDERHOLD, /

PREPARED FOR:

PREPARED BY:

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY:

SENTENCING DATE:

OFFENSE OF CONVICTION:

MANDATORY MINIMUM APPLIES:

PLEA AND VERDICT:

DATE OF ARREST/RELEASE STATUS:

B28  
DEFENDANTS RESPONSE UNDER OATH TO  
THE PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT  
CASE NO: 8:00-CR-369-T-27TGW

THE HONORABLE JAMES D. WHITEMORE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

KEVIN A. WIEDERHOLD  
PRO SE DEFENDANT  
1301 N. MORGAN ST, CELL 1S6  
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY JAIL  
TAMPA, FL. 33602

ANTHONY E. PORCELLI  
400 N. TAMPA ST, SUITE 3200  
TAMPA, FL. 33602

JANUARY 14th 2002, at 1:30

COUNT 1: THREATENING TELEPHONE CALL  
18 U.S.C. 875(c)-0 to 5 YEARS IM-  
PRISONMENT/\$250,000 FINE (class D  
Felony).

COUNT 2: HARASSING TELEPHONE CALL  
47 U.S.C. 223(a)(1)(E)- 0 to 2 YEARS  
IMPRISONMENT/\$250.000 FINE (Class-  
E Felony).

NO

ON DECEMBER 7th 2000, DEFENANT PLED  
NOT GUILTY. ON AUGUST 15th 2001,  
DEFENDANT WAS FOUND GUILTY BY JURY  
AND ADJUDICATED GUILTY ON COUNTS  
1 AND COUNT 2.

ON OCTOBER 23rd 2000, (Not 24th)  
PRESENTLY DETAINED.

75 Summary  
12/1/01  
SCANNED

RE: WIEDERHOLD, KEVIN ALAN (True and only name)

IDENTIFYING DATA:

Social Security No.: 479-82-3095  
U.S. Marshal (B.O.P.) No: 89849-079, (Not 070)  
F.B.I. No.: ?  
F.D.L.E. No.: ?  
Address: On Front

Detainers: NONE

CoDefendants: NONE

Aliases: NONE

Related Cases: Related case are all the past cases since 1992 (but not limited too), that Mr. Edwards has misinformed the court about so he cannot say there is none.

Date of Birth: December 13, 1961  
Race: White, Non-Hispanic Sex: M  
Citizenship: U.S.  
Dependants: None  
Education: Dubuque Senior High  
Clarke Dr. Dubuque IA,  
Grade Completed-10  
Central Extension  
Bluff St, Dubuque IA,  
Grade Completed-11.5  
Calvary Bible College  
Belton, MO.  
Grade Completed-1 Year  
Lincoln Tech Institute  
Vine St, W. Des Moines  
Grade Completed-1/2 yr  
(Engines, Transmission,  
and Electrical).  
Maple Woods College  
Lee's Summit MO  
Grade Completed- GED

RE: Kevin A. Wiederhold  
Part A. "THE OFFENSE" Defendant will now respond to Numbers 1-75.

Charges and Convictions

1. October 12th Indictment 18 U.S.C. 875(c). The defendant denies threatening any Judicial Official as mentioned in Point-1, of the "Defendants Affidavit to Rebut Allegations" sent to the Clerk of Court November 27th 2001. Defendant had a witness send the Affidavit to the Clerk. Defendant asserts that if a threat was made to Tony Dunbar it was in self defense and duress as Tony Dunbar has completely altered defendants life and character on paper with others even to the point of falsifying military records (Physical test scores etc). Tony Dunbar has illegally perpetrated this corrupt activity for almost 10 years. Tony Dunbar has ruined defendants chances to get affordable health insurance or life Insurance. Tony Dunbar has defamed defendants mother as " a whore who has had sex with numerous black males" and " You are a worthless white snake that slithered down your mothers leg at birth Wiederhold ", both of these statements where made December of 1997. Tony Dunbar also contacted defendants landlord in late June of 2000, and began harassing defendant. Many other things Tony Dunbar has perpetrated and this will come out at a new trial after defendant appeals the final judgement/conviction. Defendant asserts Tony Dunbar never showed for trial on August 13th-15th because he knew he would be exposed upon cross examination.

2. October 12th Indictment 47 U.S.C. 223(a)(1)(E). The defendant asserts he was harassed and defamed by the employees at the Tampa F.B.I. office from January 2000, through October 2000 as mentioned in his "Affidavit To Rebut Allegations". Defendant asserts he was threatened, harassed and defamed by the Sarasota F.B.I.

since October 1994 (but not limited too), and the Sarasota FBI (S.FBI) did not show for trial August 13th-15th 2001, yet they are the ones who claimed to have arrested defendant. Defendant was harassed and ridiculed by Joyce Fisher of the Tampa U.S. Attorneys Office since late 1993. Defendant never threatened a soul in the U.S. Attorneys Office and requests tapes.

3. Defendant pled not guilty to the Indictment charges by mail and in person December 7th 2000. Defendant was competent and sane at this hearing. On August 15th 2001, the defendant was found guilty by jury before District Court judge James D. Whittemore.

4. Already answered.

"OFFENSE CONDUCT" Defendant requests tapes (R.T.)

5. Defendant denied harassing Riscorp in 1991/92. Defendant won his civil trial against Riscorp et al, in February 1994 and a related trial in 1993. Defendant done this to protect his character and he had a valid compensation claim concerning his right arm. Defendant asserts Mr. Edwards is grafting Riscorp et al, into these present charges just as Tim McKeon grafted the 1995/96 false allegations by Riscorp et al, into the December 30th 1994, disorderly conduct charge (DOC) at which time defendant was falsely accused by the SPD of yelling and screaming at the police department. Defendant was denied a jury trial on 94-6581M and never received a trial on Jim Handleys/Tim McKeon's ridiculous 94-6581M V.O.P. or 94-6581MA V.O.P. Defendant requests his trials.

6. Defendant denies threatening or harassing anyone at the S.FBI in 1994/95, or threatening Larry Collenton in 1993/94. Defendant first visit to the S.FBI was just after July 13th 1994, to show LT. Hogles's corrupt letter to them which mimicked Jerry Meisners (PD) response to the Florida Bar one year before. Defendant was told "They really stepped into a pile of \_\_\_t this time" by the officer at the front desk. At this time defendant didn't know James Handley and would have been questioned about any alledged threats on Collenton or himself, he wasn't questioned because it didn't happen (R.T.). Defendant never received one card from anyone he knew or done business with. Defendant was threatened by James Handley in October of 1994 after informing him of Riscorps fraud and the fact defendant wouldn't hire a corrupt attorney for him/them. His statements were "I am coming over there to tear that God\_m\_f\_ing phone off the wall and shove it down your throat". Defendant was arrested by the Manatee Sheriffs Dept (MSD) August 11th 1995 and not by James Handley. If Handley maliciously arrested defendant it was on August 22nd 1995, in the courtroom before judge Preston Devilbiss by falsely accusing him of a bomb threat on Riscorp (Federal), this false allegation later vanished and now defendant knows why (RE:(94-6581M and 94-6581MA). This proves Handleys involvement with Riscorp since 1992-present. Defendant asserts Tim McKeon was present at the August 22nd 1995 hearing and defendant had witness's there as well.

7. Defendant was constantly harassed by the Sarasota FBI coercing him to hire a corrupt lawyer and calling him an \_\_\_hole. Defendant was last threatened by the S.FBI in January of 2000. A collect call was made, accepted and an agent said " I am going to kill you", after discussions about our mothers (R.T.). In 1998/99 the S.FBI and other robbed defendant of important documents with the aid of Frank Wirt. After this occurred ~~your friend~~ Tony Dunbar was transferred to the Federal P.D Office to plan this present activity and eventually calling defendants landlord. Defendant denies ever threatening the Sarasota Clerks Office, Dubuque County or going to kill anyone at the D.O.E. in Chicago (R.T.). Defendant was set up and harassed in April of 1997, by the Cedar Rapids FBI (C.R.FBI) and the Dubuque Police Dept. Defendant asserts an unidentified C.R.FBI agent and a Dubuque detective named

Fessler came to his apartment on upper main street and requested defendant go to the Dubuque Police Dept with them. The defendant continually refused and the FBI agent began accusing defendant of threats on the collection office for the D.O.E. Defendant denied this and showed this agent letter he has sent the D.O.E.. Defendant requested a card from this agent and he had none ? Defendant requested they both leave the premise and they did calling defendant an \_\_\_ hole ? Within 3 months altered copies of a Dubuque Bank & Trust (D.O.E.) promissary note arrived at defendant's P.O. Box in Kansas City as defendant left Dubuque after the FBI incident. Defendant denies starting the harassment as he never spoke to the C.R.FBI before April of 1997. Defendant asserts the C.R.FBI, S.FBI. disowned family/relatives etc, have been ruining defendant's life for many years. Defendant asserts they had no reason to investigate him rather they know what they have done and what people need to be prosecuted.

ZENITH INSURANCE COMPANY ("OFFENSE CONDUCT" continued)

8-12. Defendant denies "Kill them" (R.T.), Defendant denies "Gun to head" (R.T.), Defendant denies "Defeat or kill" (R.T.), Defendant denies "Ripping hair" (R.T.). Defendant notices there is no decent conversations about his files being stolen etc? Defendant asserts this is the same old ploy to make him look irrational and insane.

12-22. Defendant denies any calls to Zenith in 1999 mentioning the name of anyone in the Sarasota FBI or the S.FBI. Defendant denies mentioning the whole FBI to the Zenith company in Sarasota at anytime. Defendant denies making racial statements to the Zenith company in 1999.

22-30. Defendant denies "How's the niger fagot" (R.T.). Defendant denies "Liberal bastards etc" (R.T.), Defendant denies "Federal agent dead" (R.T.). Defendant denies "Killing Zenith Lawyers, Sandra Bock, FBI" (R.T.), Defendant denies "Kill them" (R.T.), Defendant denies "Kill one of you" (R.T.), Defendant denies "You know..kill attorneys soon " (R.T.).

USDE ("OFFENSE CONDUCT" continued)

31-33. Defendant denies "some occasions..kill you" (R.T.), Defendant denies "kill anyone in his way" (R.T.), Defendant denies "all FBI, lawyers etc" (R.T.). Defendant denies these calls in 1999.

SARASOTA COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE (OFFENSE CONDUCT" continued)

34. Defendant denies all these calls/threats (R.T.).

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, Tampa/Sarasota ("OFFENSE CONDUCT" continued)

35. Defendant was harassed and threatened by Jim Handleys office several times since 1994, even a relative of Handley's who was/is a private detective in Bradenton harassed, threatened and defamed defendant for Jim Handley. Defendant contacted this person in the summer of 1995 to ask about using his services. Defendant does not recall "Bullet in the head"(R.T.), but if there was such a call it was in self defense just after one of the S.FBI threat in January of 2000.

36. Defendant stated this and does hate what has they have done to him. Defendant will heal if you leave him alone, let him appeal and sue.

37. Defendant stated this, anyone would be outraged by the insane statements and behavior by these people. Other insane and lewd statements were made by these

people that will come out in a new trial. If Reno wishes to sue me so be it.

38. Defendant does not deny making this call but it was not made in June 2000. It was made in January/February 2000 after threats by the S.FBI. Defendant asserts this call is situated in June to attempt to make the defendant look like he was always aggitated or upset. During January/February several wrong statements were made by the Tampa FBI office Re: "Affidavit To Rebut Allegations" and this Tampa office was protecting the S.FBI office. Defendant will protect his character and his mothers character, she would be appalled what other family members have perpetrated with these people.

39. Defendant does not deny some of these statements but does deny the call being made on September 1st 2000. Defendant actually feels sorry for the people who have continued this wrong activity in the courts for almost ten years. Defendant asserts he is not up against "everyone" as the plaintiffs have people and officers saying to him in this jail etc. Defendant asserts this is a paranoia tactic and actually a stupid vague statement. Defendant wants you to define "everyone" and who all this may be. Defendant asserts he is up against the plaintiffs and this is all he has to say. Defendant is also not a lewd person and has no interest in any of these women before or after the trial now stop falsely accusing him as a stalker.

40. Defendant denies making this call on September 4th, he recalls making this call more towards October because the U.S. Attorneys office was continually harassing him. The call was made after the defendant called the civil section about his federal court Temporary and PRELIMINARY injunction submitted in 1995. Defendant asserts this is the so-called incoherent/retarded phone calls Mr. Matthews testified about in trial. Defendant sent an Affidavit explaining Mr. Mathews perjury and the fact that Mr. Mathews was contacted at the U.S. Attorneys office not the Tampa FBI. Defendant also made the allegation that Mr. Mathews is not a 25 year FBI agent in fact defendant believes he is not an FBI agent at all. Re: (Affidavit).

41. Defendant made this call but a bit later than September 4th 2000. Defendant still believes Tony Dunbar is a extremely bad person for what he has done over the years to the defendant. Defendant asserts the word "nigger" actually means to be stingy. Not only has Dunbar ruined defendant character with the plaintiffs he with the others are very stingy because they refuse to answer defendants lawsuits he serviced in January/February of 1994. Defendant asserts Tony Dunbar et al must answer defendants lawsuits he serviced which state "Premeditated" on every count. Defendant asserts a copy of his lawsuits were included in a packet when he submitted his Temporary/PRELIMINARY injunction in 1995. All other statements concerning number #41 are made in self defense.

42. Defendant did and continues to have possessions stolen stolen by these plaintiffs. What appears to be the latest theft is his legal documents etc that were left behind at Rochester MN. Witness's seen all my legal material and even signed an affidavit. Defendant asserts the theft being spoken of in #42, is the theft of numerous documents by Frank Wirt and the plaintiffs in 1998/99. Defendant contacted several government offices concerning this but it seemed they already knew about it including defendants hometown of Dubuque IA, as the affidavit of purchase was stolen that had the date when defendant purchased the estate file for Jim Wiederhold in 1997. Defendant asserts the plaintiffs and disowned family then attempted to make it look like defendant was the disputing party over the estate since 1985 when he never knew anything about it until 1997. Defendant was even told by the Kansas City FBI in September/August 2000, "Maybe if you get a lawyer you will get all those documents back". Defendant then figured it was the Sarasota FBI, Tony

Dunbar, U.S. Attorneys office, etc who stole these documents. Defendant had contacted the Tampa U.S. Attorneys office in 1997/98 from Kansas City, why wasn't he arrested by them at this time? Defendant knows why. Now concerning the email mentioned in this Point #42. Defendant was asked by this receptionist to give her his email and defendant refused. Defendant has been called an \_\_\_ hole by this person several times, moron and braindead, some on collect calls they accepted. Defendant was told by this same person "Your mother should have had an abortion instead of having you Wiederhold!" Defendant asserts this is when he made the statements about Reno to the Tampa FBI because defendant believed these people were of the same moral character as the S.FBI and Reno's office. Defendant asserts the statements about "If you had a brain you would be dangerous" is something a disowned family member (Sharón) had them say because our mother would say that to us when we did something stupid as kids. Defendant asserts he was not smart enough to answer his mother the way he answered the receptionist about his brain, end of matter.

43. Defendant admits the call and wishes to pursue charges against Tony Dumber when you are ready.

44. Defendant was told by certain elements of the FBI to "GET A LAWYER" (corrupt) for over 7 years. Maybe defendant will be able to find an uncorrupted attorney someday? Defendant doubts this as even Robert Merkle wanted \$100,000 to take the case in July of 1993, knowing defendant didn't have that kind of money. Defendant still went on to defeat Riscorp et al, in 1994 and placed his lawsuits "EVERYONE" hated him for. Defendant asserts Merkle made that statement knowing his U.S. Attorney friends in Tampa did something wrong in the first place. Defendant was asked by attorneys in several parts of Florida "How did you figure out the Criminal Contempt moot issue etc" and they would tell him they could not finish the case. Lastly, concerning #44, Defendant may have become upset at the OSHA person but he had called defendants house calling him names for Lorrain Guzmán, WHY? Defendant never turned thised Guzmán in to OSHA, he knew nothing about it. Defendant spoke his mind and she should have hung up if she didn't like it.

*Read*  
✓  
SARASOTA PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE ("OFFENSE CONDUCT" continued)

*to Saratoga*  
45. Defendant will prove the civil/criminal cases 92-4293CA, 92-3130F(PCA), 92-3416F(?) and 92-3418F(criminal contempt) were never resolved, for one thing Mr. Edwards is again prosecuting defendant on them and more. Defendant was told by Jerry Meisner(PD) in May of 1993, "You are not out of the woods yet because the Judge Titus criminal contempt is still hovering over you!" In June 1993 defendant then found a two page letter by Riscorps atty Stewert Levine (Pre)dated December 4th 1992 in the Public Defenders files. Defendant then turned six local attorneys (Brown, Levine, McDevitte, Baranowicz, Meisner and Paderweski) into the Florida Bar. Defendant pondered over the attorneys covering responses (to the Bar) including the false postal mess by Levine concerning the December 4th, letter he never sent defendant and by law he was to send it. Defendant researched and found out the December 4th, letter was actually the Notice of Particulars(so-called evidence) of the Dec 9th 1992, criminal contempt. Defendant studied and revealed how Meisner covered the plaintiffs perjury in trial with the use of one strategic word which was also strategically situated in Stuart Levine's(Riscorp) Notice of Particulars. Defendant revealed on motions and lawsuits how these people used psych reports, mainly the Dr. William Lawrence exam stating the defendant was insane thus justify the 92-3130F, PCA, the Discovery, the criminal contempt and the injunction etc. They made the defendant look and sound insane, ultimately turning the whole situation misunderstandings, yet knowing the the Riscorp et al, witness's perjured themselves in their affidavits and in trial. Defendant asserts they maliciously

perjured themselves by making the statement under oath as well as claiming the innocent defendant made the insane statements. Defendant vehemently denies say- the sexual things etc to the plaintiffs in 1992, and even filled out SPD arrest affidavits against them in June of 1994. Defendant asserts the affidavits caused LT. Hogle of the SPD and City Attorney Mark Singer to send the conspiring letter that ignorantly mimicked Jerry Meisner's (PD) response to the Florida Bar one year earlier. Defendant asserts this proves the malicious collusion between corrupt law enforcement and the Public Defenders office but not limited too. Defendant asserts the 92-3130F etc, discovery did not have tapes listed as evidence but defendant still requested tapes at that time. Defendant again requests the tapes.

Here it is Sammy! Read  
Defendants only conversations with Tony Dunbar while defendant lived in Florida were on December 1st 1992, in the Sarasota County Jail and December 30th 1994, (very brief) at a distance. Defendant asserts on December 30th 1994, around 4:30PM Dunbar made the statement "Your family is concerned about you" ? Defendant did not say anything and left. Defendant never threatened Dunbar or his family at this brief encounter. Defendant asserts Dunbar was on the other side of Main Street when this brief encounter occurred, if defendant would have threatened Dunbar and family many people would have heard this, including the Police Station as defendants was next to his 1985 Dodge Lazer in front of the SPD. Defendant asserts Dunbar was in front of the Criminal Justice Building across the street. Defendant asserts this brief encounter was right after defendant was released from jail on bond(\$200.00) from the malicious arrest by the SPD just an hour before. Defendant asserts he did not know about Dunbars involvement with disowned family, plaintiffs and others at this time, it never entered his mind. Defendant realized Dunbars involvement after he left Dubuque Iowa in 1997. Defendant now asserts Tony Dunbar was directly involved in this malicious SPD, Disorderly Conduct arrest December 30th 1994, and was waiting near defendants vehicle just to say these stupid things to him. Defendant asserts this is why Mr. Edwards and the plaintiffs do not say exactly where the December 30th 1994, encounter took place because the arrest and the encounter were planned. Defendant asserts the lying plaintiffs and Mr. Edwards will again shift like schizo's and say the encounter did not happen on December 30th 1994, as there previous documents state that have been sent by the prosecutor. Defendants files are missing so here is another opportunity for the plaintiffs to maliciously shift on the defendant by altering more documents. Defendant requests witness's to come forth concerning Dunbars false accusations upon defendant.

DUBUQUE COUNTY, IOWA ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ("OFFENSE CONDUCT" continued)

46. Defendant asserts Dubuque County knows it has perpetrated many wrongs on the defendant. Defendant asserts Dubuque County accepted a collect call in January.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ("OFFENSE CONDUCT" continued)

47. Defendant asserts the "Staff member" is Joyce Fisher. In November 1993, defendant was told by the NBC News Station in Sarasota to contact Mr. Rubenstein at U.S. Attorneys Office in Tampa. Defendant was put in touch with Joyce Fisher who was sent at least two lengthy outlines about the corrupt activity in the courts and Riscorp et al. Defendant did not know about Dubuque County or family involvement at this time (Federal) but defendant asserts Joyce Fisher did. Defendant was constantly harassed and ridiculed by J. Fisher and was never allowed to speak to Mr. Rubenstein, we know why now. Defendant didn't even know who Larry Collenton was or his name when he contacted J. Fisher in 1993/94. Defendant denies any threats to any U.S. Attorneys office anywhere in the nation. Defendant requests tapes.

48. Defendant denies speaking to Kay Janchiatis and making any threats or vague threats on any judge. Defendant never referred to himself as an attorney at anytime.

Defendant did file a Federal Temporary/Preliminary Injunction with lawsuits attached and accompanying proof for the injunction against these plaintiffs, the injunction should have been signed. Defendant never stated "Getting even" at any time. Defendant also denies using profanity towards Joyce Fisher and requests tapes. Defendant will appeal his judgement and conviction and will sue.

49. Defendant asserts that if Mr. Rubenstein was behind the denial of defendants Temporary/Preliminary injunction in 1995 then this proves it was not denied/seen by a judge as defendant has always said. Defendant believes it was Mr. Rubenstein that illegally denied defendants excellent injunction. Defendant asserts if it would have been signed none of the malicious activity after October 26th 1995, would have happened including this. Defendant believes Mr. Rubenstein is a very unprofessional attorney and he has been disciplined by Ashcroft already. Defendant does not want anything to do with Mr. Rubenstein concerning any civil cases against the plaintiffs. Defendant denies the statements "Taking care of people etc" (R.T.). This false allegation is clearly outlined in defendants "Affidavit To Rebut Allegations". Defendant asserts these two paragraphs are Janciat's desperately trying to save her job. Defendant asserts he simply wanted to see if his injunction was still on file and didn't deserve Janciat's falsely accusing him and perjuring herself in trial. (R.T.)

50. Defendant doesn't even have last years calender but he was illegally arrested on a Monday the 23rd of October 2000, with a malicious citizens arrest. Defendant does not recall judge Wilson actually detaining him but defendant will look into this, ink can be tested for age on paper by the FBI.

51. Defendant is going to appeal his conviction on August 15th 2001 and if granted a new trial you are all welcome to come with your false allegations it is nothing new to the defendant over ten years. Defendant attempted to subpoena you on July 13th 2001, but was denied this. Defendant looks forward to meeting all of you.

#### ADJUSTMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY AND OFFENSE LEVEL COMPUTATION

53-75. Defendant has fully versed himself in the departure guidelines. Defendant completely disagrees with the computation of the points Mr. Edwards has presented. Defendant asserts it was Judy Goldman who threatened defendant (Unconstitutionally) not to write any of the plaintiffs, not even for a discovery. Defendant asserts this statement was made January 31st 1996, not August 28th 1995, before Judge Devilbiss. Defendant asserts Sarasota Courts are not in session on Sundays because the date mentioned of August 28th 1995, is a Sunday..HMMMMMMMM good one. Defendant believes the next hearing after August 22nd 1995, was around September 8th 1995, as defendant remembers placing Not Guilty pleas and motions for speedy jury trial on the James Handley false bomb threat allegation/arrest on August 11th 1995.

Defendant has responded in length to the cases, allegations and circumstances. Defendant has decided not to propose any departures as he plans to appeal the complete judgement on January 14th 2002, for a new trial. Defendant has chosen not to accept supervised release or probation because <sup>of what</sup> Mr. Edwards, B.O.P. and the plaintiffs have written about him in this report etc. Defendant will appeal from the prison if the court recommends anymore time served on these charges. Defendant will appeal on the outside if he is released.

#### CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS

NOTE: Defendant was arrested for this Misdemeanor at the SPD December 30th 1994. Shortly thereafter the higher ranking officers at the SPD were telling defendant to hire a lawyer and he was going to win the lottery etc ? Defendant knowingly and intelligently waived counsel because of the SPD behavior and past wrongs by the PD.

Defendant asserts that ineffective counsel was obvious by Jerry Meisners conspiring behavior concerning the criminal contempt of 1992 etc. Defendant asserts that the services of Jeff Snelling, John Bolduc, George McClain and LeeAnn McCurry were also bad behavior by lawyers and ineffective counsel.

76. Defendant already nailed the PD in collusion with the SPD because of the response to the Bar by Meisner and the stupid July 13th 1994, letter from Hogle. Defendant also rejuvenated his comp claim against Scott Paint (Riscorp) from 1992/93. Defendant had nailed Anita Strickland of Riscorp with fraud in October of 1994. Defendant then called Joyce Fisher about all these issues in October of 1994. Defendant asserts Joyce Fisher became irate and hung up because she couldn't handle the truth. Defendant then called the Sarasota FBI who initially was to set an appointment but then ended up threatening defendant. Defendant had been traveling to Stetson Law School attempting to find a way to get the plaintiffs in federal court. Defendant had called the SPD in late December about the corruption, his affidavits filed against Riscorp et al in June and to see if any other information was available that was not in the 1992/93 injunction/discovery. Defendant was put in contact with a Captain around December 29th 1994, who told defendant to come to the SPD as he had found some records for him. Defendant came to the records department at roughly 3:30PM December 30th 1994, just before he had to go to work at Diplomat Taxi. Defendant came to the records department and a woman went to get LT. Hogle. Defendant stated "I will not speak to that reprobate" and walked out without disruption. Defendant was near his car when several SPD employees came running out after him and grabbed him. Defendant was told by Sgt. Porter (who defendant never seen in the SPD or in his life), "You have a no trespass warrant on you not to come here" ? and proceeded to arrest defendant. Defendant was taken across the street and booked for Disorderly Conduct and bonded out one hour later. Defendant was given a copy of the PCA which stated "Yelling and screaming pieces of shit etc." Defendant contacted Mark Singer Esq and the SPD and told them to drop this ridiculous charge and go to trial. Defendant did not call the SPD "Pieces of shit" December 30th 1994. Defendant told people in his taxi that the plaintiffs were pieces of shit, at this time the SPD was not a plaintiff. Defendant placed motions for a jury trial and told the SPD and Mark Singer he was going to subpoena ten of them if they did not drop the false charge. Defendant subpoenaed and on April 4th 1995 went to trial. Defendant walked into the courtroom with witnesses and noticed close to twenty police officers lined up in the back of the courtroom which resulted in an unfair non-jury trial conviction. Defendant was charged \$130.00, probation, community service and to see a psych ? Defendant traveled all the way to Atlanta to pay for an exam but ended up finding a Dr. Griffith in Tampa within the 30 days and reported his finding of competent to the probation officer Colleen Reardon. Defendant was near completion of the probation when he was violated by Colleen Reardon without cause and arrested August 11th 1995. Defendant denied saying "Its the beginning of the end" (R.T.). Defendant does remember telling then SPD "The delusional game is going to stop" and they harassed him. Defendant would not hire a corrupt lawyer for LT. Hogle and the City but continued fighting the false charge and its VOP's. Finally in October of 1995 defendant found a way to file in federal injunction. Defendant attempted a Temporary/Preliminary injunction against the plaintiffs and it was wrongfully denied. Defendant asserts he would have won the December 30th 1994, trial if Sgt. Porter Wouldn't have lied and claimed defendant called him a "F\_\_ing pig". This of course is not even on the PCA. Defendant also denies saying the Lords name in vain to the SPD or Riscorp, (R.T.).

77. Defendant asks the question, why has Mr. Edwards and Mr. Whitaker brought up these false allegations and malicious arrests if they result in 0-Points ?

78,79. Same as above

TO SCANNY  
J. McInnis

## OTHER ARRESTS

80. Defendant was stopped for an expired tag in the summer of 1983 which turned up a moving violation defendant had forgotten about, spent 1/2hr in jail, ticket was paid for. Defendant was stopped again driving his 1977 Honda Accord for a outstanding ticket in Belton MO. defendant paid the ticket and was released. Defendant was not arrested again until the ridiculous arrests starting in November 28th 1992. Mr. Edwards, Mr. Whitaker, Frank Wirt et al or anyone else stating an unknown reason is is completely ridiculous. Defendant knows about Frank Wirts brother cuffing him.

81. Defendant denies harassing Riscorp <sup>concerning The Claim</sup> ~~concerning the claim~~ and was found not guilty and defendant is claiming continued malicious prosecution by any party who brings this matter up to discredit defendant as outlined in answer #45. Mr. Whitaker is definitely lying when he says this is resolved the way he falsely accuses defendant, any normal and sane person can see this. Defendant asserts it is pure insanity for Mr. Whitaker to acknowledge defendant was found not guilty by jury and then bring mention what these PCA stated and the very harmful false statements on the insane discovery of 1992/93. Mr. Whitaker is harassing defendant and harming him and will be sued. Defendant asks MR. Whitaker, What is your point ? Defendant denies everything in "QUOTES" and wants tapes now Mr. Whitaker. Defendant also wants proof that he assaulted a sixty five year old man which was in the Sarasota Herald with these insane PCA statements Mr. Whitaker. Defendant asserts Mr. Whitaker let Tony Dumbar et al, help him write up this malicious PCA as well as Eddie Robinette of the Sarasota Herald. Defendant asserts Riscorp had off duty officers working there before his arm injury.

82. Defendant asserts he will not allow you to ruin him by covering these so called plaintiffs. Defendant will get a new trial from an appeal. Defendant asserts since the BOP makes the stupid and conspiring statements about " over sensitivity to what defendant thinks are demeaning accusations about him" lets go over some of these defaming statements by the plaintiffs. Defendant asserts your friend Tony Dumbar and James Handley had your Riscorp et al plaintiffs make these statements " Kevin called today and stated he was going to come over to Riscorp and perform homosexual acts on me anytime, anyplace, anywhere and I am afraid of his irrational behavior" and "Kevin called today and stated he was the rapist, childmolester and child abuser we all heard about and he was going to make national headlines in the news in 24 hours"? Defendant asserts Mr. Whitaker is directly protecting insane perjury by Charles Greene, Laura Flemming and others in this PSI. Defendant asks the question, why are you defaming and insulting him by sending this satanic PSI on his birthday Mr. Whitaker ? Defendant again subpoenaed the same devious characters to another trial in Febuary of 1994, and Charles Greene made the same insane statements yet the injunction was dismissed. Defendant subpoenaed at least twelve characters from the SPD and Riscorp to come and call him the "violent sexual acts person and he can't have benefits on his hurt right arm", Defendant asserts attorney Carolyn McDevitte maliciously accused the defendant on a permanent injunction that defendant was going to perform violent sexual acts on 500 Riscorp people and the building itself. Defendant asserts that if anyone does not believe this is demeaning, they are insane. Defendant went on to sue Riscorp, Elliotte Metcalfe and Earl Moreland for \$15,500,000, they won,t answer ?

83. Defendant lost an unfair non-jury trial as mentioned in response #76. Defendant was never charged with DOC in his life and he didn't just go nutz one day in the SPD before he had to be at work. Defendant completed the stupid probation and paid a psychologist etc. Defendant was maliciously arrested on a VOP August 11th 1995 as mentioned in responses #5, #6 and #76, defendant filed in federal court after more corruption took place. Defendants temporary/preliminary injunction was wrongfully denied and while placing a copy of this injunction in the Sarasota Civil file , defendant was maliciously arrested by bailiffs and thrown in a isolation cell for two

plus months. On November 8th 1995, defendant was handed a copy of State Attorney charges 95-6223M and 6224M which had Melissa Long signing both of them. Defendant asserts that one of these was Jan DeLuca from the Public Defenders Office falsely accusing him and the other was Riscorp et al. On December 23rd 1995, Attorney John Bolduc came in the jail and delivered the ridiculous discovery of these two charges concocted by Tim McKeon. Defendant showed Bolduc how the charges he just received had to be thought up after he filed his injunction not before. Defendant asserts if the charges 6223/4M existed between May 1995 and October 1995 why wasn't the defendant arrested at hearings in September when he filed his not guilty and jury trial motions on James Handleys ridiculous bomb threat allegation/arrest on August 11th 1995? Furthermore defendant asserts he was in jail in an isolation cell in November, the original charges handed me were dated May-Oct not November as you state. Defendant was released after John Bolduc resigned in January and requested a proper discovery/tapes from the SPD and State Attorneys Office. Defendant denies making statements like this to Riscorp including the Lords name in vain (R.T.). Defendant notices the plaintiffs have now shifted again by including the SPD arrest of Dec 30th 1994, and charges 95-6223M/4M on their reports. Defendant asserts neither of these were on the Police Hist-Reports that were sent in the Discovery December 22nd 2000, and switched out with two others in my cell from Feb-July 2001. Just amazing how delusional I am, LOL.

#### OTHER ARRESTS (continued on top of page 13)

Defendant does not remember attending a hearing on August 28th, 1995, it would have been to close to the release on Friday August 26th 1995, after the five day warhead bomb allegation isolation/incarceration. Defendant believes if his dates are correct August 28th 1995, was a Sunday. Defendant admits his case is wild and extreme but defendant believes judge Devilbiss was at probably at home watching the Buccaneers get beat, who knows ?

#### PENDING CHARGES

84. Defendant received traffic tickets in Dubuque, Kansas City and Topeka KS, which were all paid for, why wasn't he arrested and brought to Florida for the "Pending VOP charges? Defendant requests a jury trial on every arrest/charge since December 30th 1994 and all tape recordings. The defendant is proving continued malicious prosecution by the plaintiffs in this PSI with the help of Mr. Whitaker. Defendant asserts this is why he was so concerned when he found out his files were robbed in the summer of 1999. Defendant knew the plaintiffs were going to continue setting him up after the set up at Richard Hammonds house 7921 Wolf Dr Corpus Christi TX. Defendant was again set up on at least two more occasions, once at the Aransas Pass Health Ctr and when he was referred to look into employment with a vending company. Defendant has a witness on the second event. Defendant knew something malicious was going to happen at some time or another with Tony Dunbar et al leading the way. Defendant asserts this is why Jim Handley and the Sarsota FBI are listed first on his Reason For Subeonas sent to the court on July 3rd 2001. Defendant is still missing legal work he took to Rochester MN, including all the appellate work he did on his Interlocutory.

#### PART C. OFFENDER CHARACTERISTICS

85. Defendant is constantly harassed with the misspelling of his name, the one and only spelling is Kevin A. Wiederhold. Defendant asserts he is the legitimate son of Harold Wiederhold and Gloria M. Smith even though minorities in Janet Reno's office and Tony Dunbar were saying defendant only had an eighth grade education, his father was black, his mother was a whore and he should change his name. Defendant will defend his mother and his character from these vicious insane attacks even if two or all of disowned family members were/are involved with Tony Dumber.

86. Defendant never lived in Tellevast rather he had a P.O. Box there, near his place of employment and his Credit Union. Defendant lived at Shady Oaks trailer Park in Rockport TX, and briefly in Aransas Pass TX, all else is accurate.

87. Defendants step father was Peter J. Schmitt, he was employed at M.P. Kluck Construction and yes he was an alcoholic and at times abusive. Defendant remembers his mother with a bloody face on several occasions but defendant does not remember her being hospitalized as "having been beaten half to death" as Mr. Whitaker says. Defendant never said his mother was an alcoholic to anyone. Defendant never said his younger years were "greatly difficult" and denies saying his childhood caused him serious problems, this is a lie by Mr. Whitaker et al. Defendant told Pretrial Services, Mr. Edwards and Ms Ball of the BOP, that it was the other siblings who were disfunctional and defendant removed himself in 1981 by 1. Leaving Florida in 1981, 2. Leaving Dubuque IA, at the end of 1981 when he went to College in Kansas City. Defendant matured faster than the other family members and ceased from any drug or moderate alcohol consumption by age 18/19. Defendant asserts it was/is disowned family that had or has these abuse problems and the removal from it. Defendant asserts Mr. Whitaker has made the defendant into <sup>a scapegoat for</sup> a disowned family and many more by his lies. Defendant has appealed the BOP study, Dr. Westrick is resigning and Ms. Ball will be turned into the appropriate state agency for her involvement there.

88. Defendant is getting tired of hearing this about these people, it is a long time ago, why is it being brought up now ?

89. Defendant already stated why he left Florida and Dubuque in response #87, our mother was alive yet and defendant was closer to her than most of the other siblings. Defendant knew nothing about legitimate estate problems until 1997, again read response #42, thanks. Defendant told pretrial services that even though he may never speak to disowned family again, he still loved them and disliked their sin. Defendant never said "I believe they still love me" because it doesn't matter whether they do or not. Defendant asserts for many years they do not know what love is and Mr. Whitaker has no business bring up the Pretrial Report because defendant was never able to get a copy nor was he allowed to say anything about the ridiculous thing in trial. WHY?

90. Defendant notices that the five sentences Mr. Whitaker mentions concerning the BOP (except for delusions) are far different than the defamation he perpetrates in #87, 88 and 89. Defendant asserts he has been made sick by this PSI.

91. Defendant told Mr. Edwards his mothers name was Gloria Marie(not "NEE") Smith. Defendant asserts he told Mr. Edwards his mother worked for Galena Glove Company and Frommelt Industries. Defendant doesn't want you to discredit his outstanding memory.

92. True

93. True. Defendant asserts this brother is involved in wrong activity on defendant and his middle name may be Ralph but it begins with R, and I gave his age of 46 then.

94. True, this brother claimed he would never betray defendant but it appears he has.

95. True. Defendant knows this sister is involved heavily in wrongs upon defendant.

96. True. Defendant knows this sister is involved heavily in wrongs upon defendant.

97. Defendant has a brother name Ron Wiederhold, he was age 41 when defendant spoke to Mr. Edwards, he is now age 42. His middle name may not be Joseph. Defendant knows this brother is involved in wrongs against defendant.

98. True, except for defendant gave the date of her death in April of 1976, defendant does not know for sure how this sister died.

99. True. Defendant had hoped to have been married long ago around age 28-32, and

defendant does hold the view the plaintiffs have destroyed his life for the last nine and one half years for various sick reasons. Defendant has and will sue, Defendant noticed the strange birthdates listed by Mr. Whitaker concerning family members. Defendant believes the four older family members were born after the first of the year, Ron was born in November. Defendant knows that there was not a year lapse between the older members and defendant gave the correct ages to Mr. Edwards last August. Defendant brother Ron is two years older and another lapse between Pam and Patricia. Defendant does not know the exact birthdates so he cannot be accurate.

100. Defendants address was 5115 Up River Road Corpus Christi, TX #47.

101. Defendant is 5'6.5" without shoes, normal weight 175lbs, scars but not noticable.

102. Defendant had knee surgery May 1981, Spleen injury July 7th 1987 not 97, back injury in 1988, 1990 and so on, irratic heartbeat 1992/93, Diverticulitis in 1994, whiplash car accident October 9th 1995. Defendant had other less serious hospitalizations and has injured his hip and right hand while incarcerated. Defendant asserts Mr. Edwards said "You have a little spinal stenosis" ? Defendant has noticed nothing said about his bad back and asserts the plaintiffs are now shifting again towards Allstate and Utica National, when Crum & Foster, Aetna, Riscorp, Travelers and Zenith Insurance are most responsible for the medical frauds/wrongs upon defendant. SHIFTERS

103. Defendant was driven to Dr. Lawrence by Meisner in his Mazda 5speed pickup on Dec 14th 1992 at the Landings Ctr regarding Titus's criminal contempt dated Dec 9th 1992. Defendant missed the next court date Dec 16th because of stress and was arrested and illegally incarcerated until Feb 28th 1993. Dr. Lawrence finished his exam in the jail Dec 23rd 1992. Defendant received the shocking and defaming exams by Dr. Lawrence and Dr. Steel in Mid January 1993. Defendant was told by Sharon "This is your Hospital"?

104. Defendant refused to see Dr. Lawrence when he came to the jail 6/96. There was a competency hearing not a trial in Febuary 1993 before Hayworth, family was present and only Dr. Steel and Dr. DeClue were present not Lawrence. Defendant attended a JURY trial May 17th-19th 1993 and was found not guilty with NO insanity acquittal!!!!

105. Defendant asserts Dr. DeClue is a liar and a fraud. Defendant denies these personality traits. Defendant done tests in the jail and was found competent by DeClue, claiming medication did this ? Suppose you claim the JURY trial didn't happen now ?

106-109. Defendant seen Permsly August 25th or 26th (Friday) 1995, in the Sarasota jail for Jim Handleys false bomb threatening thing. Defendant never mentioned the prosecutor. Defendant turned in seven lawyers by now and not just three. Defendant asserts the "Table are turned" happenned in 1992 only none of you will admit this. Dr. Permsly defamed defendant but Dr. Griffith foound defendant competent in May of 1995. Defendant is not a paranoid freak nor is he delusional, defendant explained "demeaning" in response # 82. Defendant remembers the exam stating "hidden meaning" but not de-meaning in the exam, the exam was stolen. Defendant is not antisocial, Defendant ass-erts this exam did not read this was in August 1995, he said nothing about medication and defendant asserts this PSI is defaming and a fraud. Permsly needs medication.

110. Defendant requests someone go to the hardware store and get Dr. DeClue a philips or flathead screwdriver because something came loose. Dr. Lawrence was not threatened (RT)

111. Defendant thought Dr. Visser was okay but he did defame defendant about the alledged harassment and defendants IQ is higher. Defendant asks, where did Dr. Super (who said I may have killed John Bolduc) and this Dr. Harris and his ten page exam go?

112. Defendant asserts he was/is competent. Defendant knows this jail has done corrupt things to him since his incarceration. Defendant denies mental illness and rightfully refused to see Dr. Taylor the first time. Defendant was found competent by Taylor.

113. Defendant was told he was sane and competent by Dr. Bonney on March 9th 2001. Defendant was found "ACCEPTABLE" on all levels of competency and sanity.

114-118. Defendant sent an Interlocutory appeal on this study to the appellate court November 19th 2001, witnesses seen the document mailed. Defendant only showed Dr. William E. Bonney's exam to the BOP and nothing else, defendant kept his opinions to himself. Defendant considers "Individuals involved" are past so-called friends who viewed much of the corruption in the courtroom in Florida. Defendant can place Frank Wirt et al, in the category of "acquaintances" for his involvement. Defendant never said anything about "Lying to court official" they are liars themselves. Defendant denies mental illness, being impaired and employment problems etc. Defendant Interlocutory appeal mentions inappropriateness by the BOP, plaintiffs and your office. Defendant done their test and passed. Defendant refuses medication. Defendant has a constitutional right to appeal and sue. Defendant has added the BOP and Mr. Whitaker on his "potential lawsuits". Defendant asserts this is what he gets when he worked for the BOP and he didn't have too, and his legal files are stolen as far as he knows.

119-120. Defendant shed some tears about disowned family and their outrageous behavior. Defendant never mentioned anything about psychiatrist/psychologists etc, to the corrupt pretrial services. Defendant still has never received their insane document that claims I have a genetic condition of spinal stenosis and it drives me mad etc. Defendant was not able to mention anything about the insane Pretrial Services Report to the jury ? Defendant never threatened one disowned family member and they should have come to trial if you/they are so concerned and defendant did try to subpoena them. Defendant asserts if any disowned family member is behind this PSI Report they are corrupt and mentally ill. Defendant wants proof and tapes of any alleged threats. Defendant asserts the Pretrial report only mention "two relatives" as liars who claimed they were threatened. Defendants mental health was fine 10-12 years ago.

121. Defendant specifically told your people in the past and now that he was coerced to go to Mercy Hospital in Jan of 1980, by John Adelmann and voluntarily walked out eight days later.

122. Defendant told BOP his disowned sister Sharon used Dr. Steel to write up an exam that started " His family thinks it would be okay for him to take medication" ? Dr. Steel was illegal for writing this exam and disowned sister is not a psychiatrist.

#### SUBSTANCE ABUSE

123. Defendant has consumed about a case and a half of beer since 1981 and August 2000 was one beer with the neighbor. Defendant did not do drugs after 1981.

124. Defendant showed Mr. Edwards that Central School is not on the false military records, nor his mothers signature for enlistment at age 17. Defendant attended Senior High until Dec 1977 and was an athlete in his freshman year. Defendant never said he only completed the 10th grade at Central Extension, nor should he left high school. Defendant told the BOP why he left and he should have been allowed to return.

125. True, defendant tore his knee up and had surgery and may need it again.

126. True, Maple Woods Community College in Lee's Summit MO. GED

127. True, the Pretrial Services Report made me look nutz for attending Calvary.

#### MILITARY

128-129. True, January 30th 1979-85. Defendant was mailed a continuation of falsified military records in May of 2001, and many seen them. Defendant asserts "continuation" means they were already altered in some aspects in 1994 and/or 1998/99. Defendants documents have still not been sent from Rochester MN. Defendant did not use drugs.

#### EMPLOYMENT

130. True. October 23rd 2000- present, detained by an illegal citizens arrest.

131. True, October 23rd 2000 was last day working.

132. Defendant worked there July-August 28th. Defendant told Mr. Edwards it was her

company vehicle and she did not have insurance as required by law, when defendant was stopped in Fayette County. Defendant quit and denies "not following direction" and threatening Lorraine Guzmann over tickets, (BS), (R.T). Defendants Van was parked right next to him as he sat on a concrete wall waiting for the police to arrive whom he called to pick up his first check. Defendant remained silent after John and Cathy were fired until he picked up his first check and the second check was picked up without police escort about 2 1/2 weeks later and this is when the false insurance binder was given to defendant by Alfonzo.

133. Defendant worked for Corporate Express on 44th st in Corpus Christi. Defendant only quit only because the company liability insurance was to high. Directions followed.

134. Defendant serviced convenience stores for Sammy \_\_\_\_\_ who owned the territory for Bon Appetite. The business was sold back to Bon Appetite by Sammy and someone who chose to run the whole route himself took over, consequently my job was gone. I was given two weeks departure pay by Sammy. Defendant could service 38 stores a day.

135. True. Defendant attempted to restart his water business again Aqua Pure Systems,

136. Defendant was fired because he made log sheets that accounted for every x-ray and document that was delivered, because things were missing. Defendant had learned that a ~~person~~ and Humana Health Care had accused him of pushing a black woman down a stairway, they later found out I had nothing to do with it and problems began to arise so defendant protected himself with log sheets. Defendant asserts the fire lane incident was a scapegoat tactic as everyone parked there, and I less. Defendant asserts the stair way incident was mentioned on the insane Pretrial Services Report, Ridiculous!

137. Defendant worked at Priority Courier and never missed one day work there or at Little Courier. Defendant gave two weeks notice and took a better paying job.

138. Defendant lived with the Managers and event occurred that defendant told Mr. Edwards about and defendant will not discuss it here. Sundance Photo

139. Defendant was asked to fill out documents that would have released all these insane psychiatric and psychological reports from Florida that you are now using corruptly against the defendant. Anderson News harassed defendant for this and he quit. Defendant was denied his pay for almost a month. Defendant asserts Frank Wirt who was a part of this arrest still had those particular documents and defendant asserts they are most certainly been stolen by you plaintiffs.

140. Defendant worked for Yellow Cab in Dubuque and after a few months a young woman got into the FRONT seat and began undressing. Defendant was amused and a bit shocked but then he looked behind the cab and noticed a Police car about 100 yards away. Defendant was then visited by Fessler and the no-name FBI agent from Cedar Rapids after this incident, then called an asshole ? Read response #7.

141. Defendant told Mr. Edwards it was a Candy Manufacture and it was temporary Christmas work in their warehouse.

142. Defendant informed Mr. Edwards he started his business with nothing in 1990 and was succeeding on a schedule I planned until the bad people attacked defendant in 1992. Defendant kept the business alive while fighting this same insane nonsense like this pathetic PSI report. Defendant slowly sold a few things off over the years and was eventually shut down and forced out of Florida in September of 1996. In October of 1997, defendant lost all equipment in storage and life possessions including all the awards he won as in his younger years. Defendant was told to leave Florida in October 1993, by judge Owens secretary, Governors Office in Feb/March 1995 and the Florida Bar in late 1994. Lie all you want it doesn't matter.

143. Defendant was hired by Dolly Madison in February of 1996, and they did a complete background check on the defendant and nothing showed up ?? Why has your office found something now ? Defendant worked all night to attend the May 7th 1996, Pre-

trial hearing at 10:30AM. Defendant arrived on time and was immediately falsely accused by the plaintiffs, Jan DeLuca, SPD and Judge Goldman and was thrown in jail which took away this job as well. Defendant stayed in jail until August 28th 1996. Defendant asserts John Adelman accepted numerous collect calls and said "We have an FBI office up here in Cedar Rapids". Defendant asserts this is when Dr. Lawrence was refused by me, Dr. DeClue, Dr. Harris and Dr. Super. Defendant seen Dr. Visser. Defendant asserts all these reports started to heavily favor Dubuque Iowa.

144. Defendant stated he worked for Diplomat Taxi for almost 20 years to Mr. Edwards but he had a real problem writing it down? Defendants boss was George Resendiz.

145. Defendant worked for Decor Tile and he also got DAVE SMITH a job there who was a heavy drinker and drug abuser. Defendant asserts his disowned sister asked if Dave could live with me when he came down from Dubuque and I let him. Defendant remembers all the false allegations by the malicious Smith family back in 1982/83.

#### FINANCIAL CONDITION


146-147. Defendant is glad he didn't sign because you have shifted tremendously since I spoke to Mr. Edwards last August. Defendant stated he had no money in the Bank and Mr. Edwards wanted to make it look like I did and I know why. Defendant told Mr. Edwards his Van was worth \$1500-2000.00, his trailer about \$4500.00.

148. Defendant refuses Supervision and/or Probation and will Appeal from the prison. Defendant requests the court appoint a honest attorney not affiliated with the Florida Bar and preferably out of state.

148-166. Defendant has already outlined his views in response #53-75, page 8. Defendant is competent as he always stated on documents that the Statutory Provisions regarding 18 U.S.C. 875(c) and 47 U.S.C. 223 is 0-7 years. Defendant thanks God he never allowed Ellis Curry or Dan Daly to do the trial as it is obviously God's will that HE never intended a insanity acquittal of any sort or anytime by any judge. Defendant has read the 4241-4247 mental defective and defendant definitely does not even in the slightest look like the case laws mentioned or the defectives. Defendants Interlocutory Appeal was excellent and as far as defendant is concerned this with his legal material is stolen along with all the altered paperwork sent by the prosecutor. Defendant has witnesses view what was sent to the Appellate Court etc. Defendant also knows the BOP used his roommate Tim Smith to harass him constantly about going to the Law Library and fighting his case, witnesses seen this as well. As far as falsification of documents are concerned mentioned by the BOP, how can anyone trust their legal material with you in a case like this ? Your people in these jails stole document after document from me, this was obvious, now you want to make the defendant delusional. How ridiculous and laughable (LOL). Now that the files are missing lets see what might have stolen this time ? Will it be my Appellate Material ?, The original Indictment with my signature on the second page ?, My book I wrote ?, Ahhh....The Reason For Subpeona's ?....No ?, Then how about the Grievances on the jails dated April 3rd and the ammended Grievance about the nurse telling me that I have genetic condition of Spinal Stenosis and needed the back operation that Dr. Miller wanted to do for Glacier Water in 1990 ?, I got it !.....You are going to steal Dr. William E. Bonney's exam again like you did at trial and in my cell on August 14th 2001 ? Defendant asserts if you do that you will have to steal the insane Eliote Metcalfe document that you tried to perpetrate through Dan Daly and the Landlord on August 14th-15th 2001. Defendant did the right thing by not submitting that document and doing the Narrative against "Everyones's" wishes/plans. Defendant asserts this saved his appeal and the same " additional information" mentioned on that document is now the same strange and or false information in the PSI. Defendant would greatly appreciate if you would return his files with nothing missing. Defendant asserts this would be a miracle and even

a bigger miracle if you threw in the two other Police History Reports you confiscated. Defendant asserts what will happen next is Tim McKeon, Lynn Billings and others will use the altered tapes in the last trial to alter conversations of any calls made to the plaintiffs over the years even with other voices attempting to make the defendant sound insane. Defendant asserts since his landlord has his files and testified under oath for the prosecutor the actual Tim McKeon PCA given to the defendant in early November 1995, will be switched out with a new set of sick documents with altered tapes. Defendant can already tell this is going to happen because of the statement in the PSI of the Tim McKeon documents having the Months of May-Nov, on it rather than May-Oct 1995. Defendant has already mentioned the landlord in his "Affidavit To Rebut Allegations" dated November 27th 2001. Defendant asserts Frank Wirt et al is also involved in this insane activity and this is where the BOP gets their delusional garbage from. Defendant asserts you have now stolen the stupid typed letter that Frank Wirt sent or change it out again with a worse one this time. Defendant will fight your insane and malicious activity. Defendant asserts Dan Martuik signed every page of the false Tim McKeon PCA when defendant was released in January 1996, defendant asserts he has to resign another batch of garbage and in conspiracy this time. Defendant asserts all must perjure themselves in a new trial.

I DECLARE, under penalty of perjury according to 28 U.S.C. 1746, that the foregoing sixteen pages of this response to the PSI Report is true and correct to the defendants knowledge so help me God. Executed on this 21st day of December 2001.

  
Pro Se Kevin A. Wiederhold  
1301 N. Morgan Street Cell 1S6  
Hillsborough County Jail  
Tampa, FL. 33602. #01065897

Copy to:

Clerk of Court  
Probation Office

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
PROBATION OFFICE

ELAINE TERENZI  
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

501 EAST POLK STREET, ROOM 900  
TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602-3945

December 12, 2001

Hillsborough County Jail  
c/o Kevin Weiderhold  
(Booking Name: Kevin Weiderhol  
Booking No. 01065897)  
1301 N. Morgan Street  
Tampa, FL 33602

*Nice*  
I was called an Asshole at  
your Orient Rd Jail, because Re: Kevin Alan Weiderhold  
of your corruption. Don't worry Docket No: 8:00-cr-369-T-27TGW  
The "Asshole" is leaving your pathetic society.  
Dear Mr. Weiderhold: I promise you this.

I wonder how my files  
will get to me with this  
name? Sorry I am not a  
Asshole, I assure you the  
biggest (in the world?) is going to  
leave your nation some day

See you

Enclosed is a copy of the Presentence Report prepared in the case of the above mentioned.  
This report is delivered to you as is required by Middle District of Florida Local Rule 4.12  
(amended 12/94). The rule requires that you review the report and communicate in writing  
to this office your objections to any material information, sentencing classifications,  
sentencing guideline ranges, and policy statements contained in or omitted from the report.  
Your written response must be received at this office no later than close of business on  
**December 26, 2001.**

Sincerely,

*Stephen R. Edwards (JVT)*

Stephen R. Edwards  
United States Probation Officer

Enclosures

*to [unclear]  
[unclear]*

POSITION OF THE PARTIES  
WITH RESPECT TO THE SENTENCING FACTORS

RE: **Kevin Alan Weiderhold**  
CASE NO. 8:00-cr-369-T-27TGW

It is the responsibility of the Probation Officer to endeavor to resolve any disputed issues raised by the parties. It is our burden to submit an addendum setting forth any objections counsel may have made together with the Probation Officer's comment thereon. Please select and complete one of the following options and return this form to Probation Officer **Stephen R. Edwards**, to arrive no later than the close of business on **December 26, 2001**. In the event either counsel raises issues under option III, the Probation Officer must make a determination as to the need for a meeting of the parties. If this is the case, please phone the Probation Officer as soon as possible so as to facilitate the earliest possible meeting.

- I. No written objections are being made to the material information, sentencing classifications, sentencing guideline ranges, and policy statements contained in or omitted from the report.

Print Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature : \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

- II. No written objection(s) to the material information, sentencing classifications, sentencing guideline ranges, and policy statements contained in or omitted from the report is (are) being submitted. However we do wish to make the following points which are attached for the record.

Print Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature : \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

- III. The attached objection(s) is (are) being made to the material information, sentencing classifications, sentencing guideline ranges, and/or policy statements contained in or omitted from the report.

Print Name: Kevin A. Wiederhold

Signature : Kevin A. Wiederhold Date: 12-13-01

cc: Opposing Counsel

This form will be provided to the court at the time the Presentence Report is disclosed.

*Handwritten signature/initials in the left margin.*